arXiv:0805.4114v2 [math.DS] 1 Nov 2008

A THEOREM OF TITS TYPE FOR COMPACT KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

DE-QI ZHANG

Abstract. We prove a theorem of Tits type for compact Kähler manifolds, which has been conjectured in the paper [9].

1. Introduction

We work over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers. In this note, we prove first the following result, which also gives an affirmative answer to the *conjecture of Tits type for compact Kähler manifolds* as formulated in [9].

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be an n-dimensional ($n \ge 2$) compact Kähler manifold and G a subgroup of* $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$. *Then one of the following two assertions holds:*

1. *G contains a subgroup isomorphic to the non-abelian free group $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}$, and hence G contains subgroups isomorphic to non-abelian free groups of all countable ranks.*
2. *There is a finite-index subgroup G_1 of G such that the induced action $G_1 | H^{1,1}(X)$ is solvable and Z-connected. Further, the subset*

$$N(G_1) := \{g \in G_1 \mid g \text{ is of null entropy}\}$$

of G_1 is a normal subgroup of G_1 and the quotient group $G_1/N(G_1)$ is a free abelian group of rank $r \le n-1$ (see 1.2 below for the boundary cases).

In Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, the action $G|H^{1,1}(X)$ is *Z-connected* if its Zariski-closure in $\mathrm{GL}(H^{1,1}(X))$ is connected with respect to the Zariski topology. X is said to be *almost homogeneous* (resp. *dominated by* some closed subgroup H of the identity connected component $\mathrm{Aut}_0(X)$ of $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$) if some $\mathrm{Aut}_0(X)$-orbit (resp. H-orbit) is dense open in X. A compact Kähler manifold is *weak Calabi-Yau* if the irregularity $q(X) := h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ and the *Kodaira dimension* $\kappa(X) = 0$. A projective manifold is *ruled* if it is birational to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times$ (another projective manifold).

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be an n-dimensional ($n \ge 2$) compact Kähler manifold and G a subgroup of* $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ *such that the induced action $G|H^{1,1}(X)$ is solvable and Z-connected.*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J50, 14E07, 32M05, 32H50, 32Q15.

Key words and phrases. automorphism group, Kähler manifold, Tits alternative, topological entropy.

The author is supported by an ARF of NUS.

Then the set $N(G)$ *as in 1.1 is a normal subgroup of* G *such that* $G/N(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus r}$ *with* $r \le n-1$. *If* $r = n-1$, *then the algebraic dimension* $a(X) \in \{0, n\}$ (cf. [16, 3.2]), *the anti-Kodaira dimension* $\kappa(X, -K_X) \le 0$ *and one of Cases* (1) $\sim$ (4) *below occurs.*

1. X *is bimeromorphic to a complex torus.*
2. X *is a weak Calabi-Yau Kähler manifold.*
3. $\mathrm{Aut}_0(X) = (1)$, $q(X) = 0$ *and the Kodaira dimension* $\kappa(X) = -\infty$. *Further,* X *is rationally connected provided that* X *is projective and uniruled.*
4. $\mathrm{Aut}_0(X)$ *is a non-trivial linear algebraic group.* X *is an almost homogeneous projective manifold and dominated by every positive-dimensional characteristic closed subgroup of* $\mathrm{Aut}_0(X)$. *Hence* X *is unirational and ruled. In particular,* X *is a rational variety, unless* $\dim X \ge 4$ *and* $\mathrm{Aut}_0(X)$ *is semi-simple.*

A remark on the uniruledness in Theorem 1.2 (3): for a projective manifold X, the *good minimal model conjecture* claims that X is uniruled if and only if $\kappa(X) = -\infty$; this conjecture has been confirmed when $\dim X \le 3$; see [11, §3.13].

We refer to [7], [18], [6], [3] and [4] for the definitions of the i-th *dynamical degrees* $d_i(g)$ and the *topological entropy* $h(g)$ for $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$. It is known that

$$h(g) = \max_{1 \le i \le n} \log d_i(g) = \max\{\log|\lambda|\,;\, \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } g^* \mid (\oplus_{i \ge 0} H^i(X, \mathbb{C}))\};$$

see also [2, Proposition 5.7]. And $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ is of *positive entropy* (resp. *null entropy*) if and only if $d_1(g) > 1$ or equivalently $h(g) > 0$ (resp. $d_1(g) = 1$ or equivalently $h(g) = 0$).

Theorem 1.1 is an analogue to the famous *Tits alternative theorem* [15, Theorem 1]: For a subgroup $H \le \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{C})$ with $m \ge 1$, either

(i) H contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}$, or
(ii) H contains a solvable subgroup of finite index.

When G is abelian, Theorem 1.1 follows from [3]. The key ingredients of our proof are: the very inspiring results of Dinh-Sibony [3], especially the Hodge-Riemann type result [3, Corollary 3.5], the theorem of Lie-Kolchin type for a cone [9, Theorem 1.1], and the trick of considering the 'quasi nef sequence' in 2.2.

Remark 1.3.

1. For a projective manifold X, if we replace $H^{1,1}(X)$ by $\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$, the same statements of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 hold; to prove them, we just replace $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and the Kähler cone by respectively $\mathrm{NS}(X)/(\text{torsion})$ and the ample cone.
2. For Theorem 1.2, it is easy to show that $r < h^{1,1}(X)$. However, $h^{1,1}(X)$ has no upper bound even for surfaces. Our bound $r \le n-1$ is optimal as seen in [3, Example 4.5], where their examples X are abelian varieties (and hence $\kappa(X) = 0$).

Theorem 1.2 shows that, indeed, such optimal cases happen only when $\kappa(X) \le 0$. More generally, one has $r \le \max\{0,\, n-1-\kappa(X)\}$ (optimal!) by Lemma 2.11.

(3) When X is a surface, Theorem 1.1 says that either G is very chaotic, or has at most one dynamically interesting symmetry.

(4) The proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are straightforward, with no any fancy stuff.

What are the obstacles (in proving Theorem 1.2 for non-commutative G)?

First, without the commutativity, one could not produce enough common *nef* (as usually desired) eigenvectors L_i of G in the closure $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ of the Kähler cone of X, in order to construct a homomorphism $\psi : G \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Second, even if one has $n-1$ of such L_i, the non-vanishing of $L_1 \cdots L_{n-1}$ (needed to show the injectivity of ψ modulo $N(G)$) could not be checked, unless, being lucky enough, L_i's give rise to pairwise distinct characters of G. A naive approach is to use induction on $h^{1,1}(X)$ and apply the generalized Perron-Frobenius theorem in [1] to the cone: $\mathrm{Im}(\overline{\mathcal{K}}(X) \to H^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{R})/(\mathbb{R}L_1))$; see 2.1 for the notation. However, the latter cone may not be closed and hence a common eigenvector of G in the closure of the latter cone is of the form $L_2 + \mathbb{R}L_1$ (a coset) but with L_2 *not necessarily* in the cone $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(X)$. Fortunately, these difficulties are taken care by considering the quasi nef sequence in 2.2, and the latter is further exploited in Lemma 2.10.

To the best of our knowledge, there are only partial solutions to the conjecture of Tits type for complex varieties, like [9] and [10]. See also [14] and [19] for related results.

Acknowledgement

I thank A. Fujiki for the discussion on algebraic reduction, K. Oguiso for encouraging me to generalize the result to the Kähler case, T.-C. Dinh and N. Sibony for the comments and for pointing out [3, page 301] related to 2.2 below, and the referee for the suggestions.

2. Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

2.1. Numerically equivalent cohomology classes and Kähler (k,k)-forms

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n. Denote $H^{i,i}(X,\mathbb{R}) = H^{i,i}(X) \cap H^{2i}(X,\mathbb{R})$. We use letters of upper case like D, L, M to denote elements of $H^{i,i}(X)$. By abuse of notation, the *cup product* $L \cup M$ for $L \in H^{i,i}(X)$ and $M \in H^{j,j}(X)$ is denoted as $L.M$ or simply $L\,M$.

Let $N^r(X)$ be the quotient of $H^{r,r}(X,\mathbb{R})$ modulo numerical equivalence: for $D_1, D_2 \in H^{r,r}(X,\mathbb{R})$, $D_1 \equiv D_2$ (*numerically equivalent*) if and only if $(D_1 - D_2)\,L_1 \cdots L_{n-r} = 0$ for all L_i in $H^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{R})$. Denote by $[D_1] \in N^r(X)$ the class containing D_1, but *we will write* $D_1 \in N^r(X)$ by abuse of notation. Notice that numerical equivalence may *not* coincide with cohomological equivalence when $r \le n-2$.

The *cone* $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(X) \subset H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is defined to be the closure of the *Kähler cone* $\mathcal{K}(X)$ of X. Elements of $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ are said to be *nef.*

We now use the definitions of [13, §A1]. Let ω be a C^∞-(k,k)-form on X with $1 \le k \le n$. For a local coordinate system $(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ of X, our ω is locally expressed as

$$\omega = (\sqrt{-1})^{k^2} \sum\nolimits_{I,J \subset \{1,2,\dots,n\}} a_{I,J} dz_I \wedge d\bar{z}_J$$

with C^∞-functions $a_{I,J}$, where $\sharp I = \sharp J = k$ and $dz_I := dz_{i_1} \wedge dz_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{i_k}$ with $I = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}$ and $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k$. This ω is called a *Kähler* (k,k)-form if it is d-closed ($d\omega = 0$) and real ($\overline{\omega} = \omega$), and if the matrix $(a_{I,J})$ is positive-definite everywhere on X. Note that this is just the usual definition of Kähler form when $k = 1$. Inside of the real vector space $\mathrm{H}^{k,k}(X, \mathbb{R})$, the set $P^k(X)$ of the classes $[\omega]$ of Kähler (k,k)-forms ω on X is a strictly convex open cone. It is called the *Kähler cone of degree k*. Its closure in $\mathrm{H}^{k,k}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is denoted as $\overline{P^k(X)}$.

2.2. **The quasi nef sequence**. Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n. Consider nonzero elements $M_1, \dots, M_s$ (with $1 \le s \le n$) in $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying:

(i) M_1 is nef, and

(ii) For every $2 \le r \le s$, there are nef $L_r(j) \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ such that

$$H^{r,r}(X, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\} \ni M_1 \cdots M_r = \lim_{j \to \infty} (M_1 \cdots M_{r-1} L_r(j)).$$

So $M_1 \cdots M_r \ne 0$ in $N^r(X)$ by Lemma 2.3 (1) below.

Lemma 2.3. *Let X and M_r ($1 \le r \le s$) be as in 2.2. Then the following are true.*

(1) *For all $i_1 \le \cdots \le i_t \le s$ with $s + t \le n$, we have* (cf. 2.1)*:*

$$M_1 \cdots M_s \in \overline{P^s(X)}, \quad (M_1 \cdots M_s)(M_{i_1} \cdots M_{i_t}) \in \overline{P^{s+t}(X)}.$$

In particular, $M_1 \cdots M_s L_{s+1} \cdots L_n > 0$ for all L_i in the Kähler cone $\mathcal{K}(X)$, and hence $M_1 \cdots M_s \ne 0$ in $N^s(X)$.

(2) *Suppose $1 \le s \le n - 1$ and all $L_s, \dots, L_{n-1} \in \mathcal{K}(X)$. Then the quadratic form*

$$q(x, y) := -M_1 \dots M_{s-1} L_s \cdots L_{n-2}\, x\, y$$

is semi-positive over the set

$$P(M_1 \cdots M_{s-1} L_s \cdots L_{n-1}) := \{z \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R}) \mid M_1 \cdots M_{s-1} L_s \cdots L_{n-1}\, z = 0\}$$

of $M_1 \cdots M_{s-1} L_s \cdots L_{n-1}$-primitive classes; see [3, §3] *for relevant material.*

(3) *We have $q(M_s, M_s) \le 0$.*

In the following, assume $1 \le s \le n - 1$, and take $M'_s \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$H^{s,s}(X, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\} \ni M_1 \cdots M_{s-1} M'_s = \lim_{j \to \infty} (M_1 \cdots M_{s-1} L_s(j)')$$

for some $L_s(j)' \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}(X)$. *So this* M_s' *enjoys the properties of* M_s.

(4) *Suppose that* $M_1 \cdots M_{s-1} M_s M_s' = 0$ *in* $N^{s+1}(X)$. *Then there is exactly one nonzero real number* b *such that* $M_1 \cdots M_{s-1} (M_s + bM_s') = 0$ *in* $N^s(X)$.

(5) *Suppose that* $h : X \to X$ *is a surjective endomorphism such that*

$$h^*(M_1 \cdots M_{s-1} M) \;=\; \lambda(M)\,(M_1 \cdots M_{s-1} M)$$

for both $M = M_s$ *and* M_s' *with real numbers* $\lambda(M_s) \ne \lambda(M_s')$. *Then we have* $M_1 \cdots M_{s-1} M_s M_s' \ne 0$ *in* $N^{s+1}(X)$.

Proof. (1)We only need to prove the first part and for this we proceed by induction on t. The case $t = 0$ is clear. Suppose that we are done with the case t. Then for the case $t + 1$ (with $i_{t+1} = k \le s$ say) the assertion (1) follows from:

$$\begin{aligned}(M_1 \cdots M_s)\,(M_{i_1} \cdots M_{i_{t+1}}) &= \lim_{j\to\infty}((M_1 \cdots M_{k-1}\,L_k(j)\,M_{k+1} \cdots M_s)\,(M_{i_1} \cdots M_{i_{t+1}})) \\ &= \lim_{j\to\infty}((M_1 \cdots M_s)\,(M_{i_1} \cdots M_{i_t}\,L_k(j))).\end{aligned}$$

The assertion (2) follows from the Hodge-Riemann Theorem of Gromov; see its other variation [3, Corollary 3.4]. (3) is from (1). The assertions (4) and (5) are actually proved in [3, Corollary 3.5, Lemma 4.4], but we use (2) and (3) (see also [3, Remark 3.6]) and note that h^* induces an automorphism of $H^{i,i}(X, \mathbb{R})$ $(i \ge 0)$, acts as a scalar multiple by $\deg(h)$ on $H^{2n}(X, \mathbb{R})$ (and also preserves the Kähler cone of X). □

Definition 2.4. Let V be a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of finite rank or a real vector space of finite dimension. Let $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ be its complexification. A subgroup $H \le \mathrm{GL}(V)$ is *Z-connected on* V or *on* $V_{\mathbb{C}}$, if the Zariski-closure in $\mathrm{GL}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ of (the natural extension) $H|V_{\mathbb{C}}$ is connected with respect to the Zariski topology.

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold. For a subgroup $G \le \mathrm{Aut}(X)$, we consider its action (by pullback) $G|\Lambda$ on some G-stable subspace $\Lambda \subset H^{i,i}(X, \mathbb{R})$. We say that G is *Z-connected* on Λ if $G|\Lambda$ is Z-connected on Λ; our G is *solvable on* Λ if $G|\Lambda$ is solvable.

Remark 2.5. By the Tits alternative theorem [15, Theorem 1], either $G|\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ and hence G contain a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}$, or $G|\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ contains a solvable subgroup H of finite index. In the latter case, the preimage $G_1 \le G$ of the identity component of the closure of H in $\mathrm{GL}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}})$, is of finite-index in G, and is solvable and Z-connected on Λ.

We shall use the theorem of Lie-Kolchin type for a cone in [9]:

Theorem 2.6. (cf. [9, Theorem 1.1]) *Let* V *be a finite-dimensional real vector space and* $\{0\} \ne C \subset V$ *a strictly convex closed cone. Suppose that a solvable subgroup* $G \le \mathrm{GL}(V)$ *is Z-connected on* $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ *and* $G(C) \subseteq C$. *Then* G *has a common eigenvector in the cone* C.

2.7. **Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2**

By Remark 2.5, we only need to show Theorem 1.2. From now on till Lemma 2.16, we assume that $G|H^{1,1}(X)$ *is solvable and Z-connected.*

Applying Theorem 2.6 to the cone $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(X) \subset H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$, there is a common eigenvector $M_1 \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ of G. We may write $g^* M_1 = \chi_1(g) M_1$ where $\chi_1 : G \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is a character. Consider the induced action of G on the finite-dimensional subspace $M_1.H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ ($\subseteq H^{2,2}(X, \mathbb{R})$), which is nonzero by Lemma 2.3 (1). Our $M_1.\overline{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ is a cone and spans $M_1.H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ but $(*)$: it may not be *closed* in $M_1.H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$. Now G is solvable and Z-connected on $M_1.H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$, because $G|(M_1.H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{C}))$ is the image of $G|H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{C})$ (multiplied by χ_1). Applying Theorem 2.6 to the closure of $M_1.\overline{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ in $M_1.H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$, we get $M_2 \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ such that $M_1 \, M_2$ is a common eigenvector of G and 2.2 holds for $s = 2$. Notice that M_2 *may not be nef* because of the $(*)$ above. We may write $g^*(M_1 \, M_2) = \chi_1(g)\chi_2(g)(M_1 \, M_2)$ where $\chi_2 : G \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is a character. Inductively, we obtain $M_1, \ldots, M_n$ such that for every $1 \le r \le n-1$ our G is solvable and Z-connected on $M_1 \cdots M_r.H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$, that 2.2 holds for $s = n$ and that for all $1 \le t \le n$

$$g^*(M_1 \cdots M_t) = \chi_1(g) \cdots \chi_t(g) \, (M_1 \cdots M_t)$$

where $\chi_i : G \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ($1 \le i \le n$) are characters. Of course, $\chi_1(g) \cdots \chi_n(g) = \deg(g) = 1$.

Define a homomorphism into the additive group as follows

$$\psi : G \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \qquad g \mapsto (\log \chi_1(g), \, \ldots, \, \log \chi_{n-1}(g)).$$

Now the first part of Theorem 1.2 follows from the two claims below.

Claim 2.8. $\mathrm{Ker}(\psi) = N(G)$.

Proof. By the equivalent definition of the entropy $h(g)$ in the introduction, we have $N(G) \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}(\psi)$. Suppose the contrary that $g \in \mathrm{Ker}(\psi)$ is of positive entropy. Then $\chi_i(g) = 1$ for all $1 \le i \le n$, but the first dynamical degree $d_1(g) > 1$. It is known that $d_1(g) = \max\{|\lambda| \, ; \, \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } g^*|H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})\}$. Applying the generalized Perron-Frobenious theorem in [1] to the cone $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ which spans $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$, we find a nonzero L_1 in $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ such that $g^* L_1 = \lambda_1 L_1$ with $\lambda_1 = d_1(g) > 1$. Now

$$M_1 \cdots M_{n-1} \, L_1 = g^*(M_1 \cdots M_{n-1} \, L_1) = \lambda_1 \, (M_1 \cdots M_{n-1} \, L_1).$$

Since $\lambda_1 \ne 1$, we have $M_1 \cdots M_{n-1} \, L_1 = 0$. If $M_1 \, L_1 = 0$ in $N^2(X)$ then M_1 and L_1 are parallel in $N^1(X)$ by [3, Corollary 3.2] or Lemma 2.3 (5). Hence $1 = \chi_1(g) = \lambda_1 > 1$, a contradiction. Take $t \ge 2$ minimal such that $M_1 \cdots M_t \, L_1 = 0$ in $N^{t+1}(X)$. Then both $M_1 \cdots M_{t-1} \, L_1$ and $M_1 \cdots M_{t-1} \, M_t$ are nonzero in $N^t(X)$; see Lemma 2.3 (1). Since L_1 is nef, we can apply Lemma 2.3 (5) to $M_t' := L_1$ and conclude that $M_1 \cdots M_t \, L_1 \ne 0$ in

$N^{t+1}(X)$, since $g^*(M_1 \cdots M_t) = M_1 \cdots M_t$ while $g^*(M_1 \cdots M_{t-1}\, L_1) = \lambda_1\,(M_1 \cdots M_{t-1}\, L_1)$ with $\lambda_1 \ne 1$. We have reached a contradiction. This proves Claim 2.8. □

Claim 2.9. $\mathrm{Im}(\psi)$ *is discrete in* $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ *with respect to the Euclidean topology.*

Proof. Since ψ is a homomorphism, it suffices to show that $(0, \ldots, 0)$ is an isolated point of $\psi(G)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Consider the set $\Sigma = \Sigma_\varepsilon$ of all elements $g \in G$ satisfying $|\log \chi_i(g)| \le \varepsilon$ for all $1 \le i \le n-1$, or equivalently $\chi_i(g^{\pm}) \le \delta := e^{\varepsilon}$. Note that $g \in \Sigma$ if and only if $g^{-1} \in \Sigma$. Let $g \in \Sigma$ with $\psi(g) \ne (0, \ldots, 0)$. Then g is of positive entropy by Claim 2.8. Thus there are nonzero L_i in the cone $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ such that $g^* L_i = \lambda_i L_i$ with $\lambda_1 = d_1(g) > 1$ and $\lambda_2^{-1} = d_1(g^{-1}) > 1$.

We now prove the assertion (∗∗): $d_1(g^{\pm}) \le \delta^{n-1}$. It suffices to show $d_1(g^e) \le \delta$ for $e = 1$ or -1, because $d_1(g^{\pm}) \le d_1(g^{\mp})^{n-1}$. Indeed, applying the argument above to $\langle g \rangle$, we get $M'_1, \cdots, M'_n$ satisfying 2.2 so that each $M'_1 \cdots M'_t$ is semi g-invariant, and we may take $M'_1 = L_1, M'_2 = L_2$ (for $L_1\, L_2 \ne 0$ in $N^2(X)$ by Lemma 2.3 (5)); now $M'_1 \cdots M'_n = g^*(M'_1 \cdots M'_n) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots (M'_1 \cdots M'_n)$ implies that $1 = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \le \lambda_1^{n-1} \lambda_2$ and hence $d_1(g^{-1}) = \lambda_2^{-1} \le d_1(g)^{n-1}$. For the proof of $d_1(g^e) \le \delta$, notice

$$M_1 \cdots M_{n-1}\, L_i = g^*(M_1 \cdots M_{n-1}\, L_i) = \chi_1(g) \cdots \chi_{n-1}(g)\, \lambda_i\, (M_1 \cdots M_{n-1}\, L_i).$$

If $M_1 \cdots M_{n-1}\, L_i \ne 0$ for both $i = 1$ and 2, then $\chi_1(g) \cdots \chi_{n-1}(g)\, \lambda_i = 1$ and hence $1 < \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 < 1$, absurd. Switching g with g^{-1} if necessary, we may assume that $M_1 \cdots M_{n-1}\, L_1 = 0$. If $M_1\, L_1 = 0$ in $N^2(X)$ then M_1 is parallel to L_1 in $N^1(X)$ and hence $d_1(g) = \lambda_1 = \chi_1(g) \le \delta$. If $M_1\, L_1 \ne 0$ in $N^2(X)$ and $t \ge 2$ is minimal such that $M_1 \cdots M_t\, L_1 = 0$ in $N^{t+1}(X)$, then $d_1(g) = \chi_t(g) \le \delta$ by Lemma 2.3 (5); see the proof of Claim 2.8. The assertion (∗∗) is proved.

Therefore, for every $g \in \Sigma$, the absolute values of all (complex) eigenvalues of $g^* | H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ are bounded by δ^{n-1}, whence Claim 2.9 holds; see the proof of [3, Corollary 2.2]. This also proves the first part of Theorem 1.2. □

We still need to get a better rank upper bound $r \le n-2$ except for the four cases in Theorem 1.2. To distinguish, we also write $r = r(G)$.

Lemma 2.10. *Let* (X, G) *be as in Theorem 1.2 and* Y *a compact Kähler manifold with a biregular action by* G *and with* $n = \dim X > k := \dim Y > 0$. *Suppose that* $\pi : X \to Y$ *is a* G*-equivariant surjective holomorphic map. Then the rank* $r(G) \le n-2$.

Proof. We use the argument for the first part of Theorem 1.2, first for (Y, G) and then for (X, G). By the assumption, both $G|H^{1,1}(X)$ and $G|H^{1,1}(Y)$ are solvable and Z-connected. By the argument for the first part of Theorem 1.2, there are $M'_1, \ldots, M'_k \in H^{1,1}(Y, \mathbb{R})$

satisfying 2.2 and the following for all $1 \le t \le k$

$$g^*(M_1' \cdots M_t') = \chi_1(g) \cdots \chi_t(g)\,(M_1' \cdots M_t').$$

We can continue the sequence $M_1 := \pi^* M_1', \cdots, M_k := \pi^* M_k'$ with $M_{k+1}, \cdots, M_n$ in $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ so that $M_1, \ldots, M_n$ satisfy 2.2 and the following for all $1 \le t \le n$

$$g^*(M_1 \cdots M_t) = \chi_1(g) \cdots \chi_t(g)\,(M_1 \cdots M_t).$$

Define a homomorphism into the additive group:

$$\varphi : G \to \mathbb{R}^{n-2}, \qquad g \mapsto (\log \chi_1(g), \ldots, \log \chi_{k-1}(g), \log \chi_{k+1}(g), \ldots, \log \chi_{n-1}(g)).$$

As in the first part of Theorem 1.1, we only need to show that $\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi) \subseteq N(G)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(\varphi)$ is discrete. Notice $(***)$: $\chi_1(g) \cdots \chi_k(g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$.

Suppose that $g \in \operatorname{Ker}(\varphi)$. Then $\chi_i(g) = 1$ holds also for $i = k$ by $(***)$, and hence for all $1 \le i \le n-1$. Thus $\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi) = N(G)$ by the proof of Claim 2.8.

Consider the set $\Sigma = \Sigma_\varepsilon$ of all elements $g \in G$ satisfying $|\log \chi_i(g)| \le \varepsilon$ for all i with $i \ne k$ and $1 \le i \le n-1$, or equivalently $\chi_i(g^{\pm}) \le \delta := e^{\varepsilon}$. By $(***)$, $\chi_k(g^{\pm}) = (\chi_1(g) \cdots \chi_{k-1}(g))^{\mp} \le \delta^{k-1}$ and hence $\chi_i(g^{\pm}) \le \max\{\delta, \delta^{k-1}\}$ for all $g \in \Sigma$ and $1 \le i \le n-1$. Now the proof of Claim 2.9 shows that φ is discrete. This proves Lemma 2.10. □

Lemma 2.11. *Suppose that the Kodaira dimension $\kappa := \kappa(X) > 0$. Then $r(G) \le \max\{0, n-1-\kappa\}$. This bound is optimal, by considering $(V \times W, G \times \mathrm{id}_W)$ with (V, G) as in* [3, Example 4.5] *and W any projective manifold of general type.*

Proof. If $\kappa = n$, then $|\operatorname{Aut}(X)| < \infty$; see [13, Corollary 2.4]. So assume that $\kappa < n$. Let $\Phi := \Phi_{|mK_X|} : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(X, mK_X))$ be the Iitaka fibration and Y the image of Φ. By [13, Corollary 2.4], G descends to a *finite* group $G|Y \le \operatorname{Aut}(Y)$. Replacing X and Y by G-equivariant resolutions of indeterminacy locus of Φ and singularities of Y, we may assume that Φ is holomorphic and Y is smooth; see also [13, Lemma A.8]. Replacing G by a finite-index subgroup and noting that $r(G) = r(G_1)$ when $|G : G_1| < \infty$, we may assume that $G|Y = \{\mathrm{id}\}$, and for a general fibre F of Φ our $G|H^{1,1}(F)$ is Z-connected (and also solvable because: some term $G^{(v)}$ of the derived series of G acts trivially on $H^{1,1}(X)$ by the assumption, and the restriction $G^{(v)}|H^{1,1}(F)$ is Z-connected and acts trivially on the restriction to F of every Kähler class of X so that we can apply Lieberman [12, Proposition 2.2] as in $(*)$ of Lemma 2.12 below). We can identify G with $G|F \subset \operatorname{Aut}(F)$. By [20, (2.1) Remark(11)] or [13, Theorem D], $N(G)|F = N(G|F)$. By the first part of Theorem 1.2, our $G = G|F$ satisfies $G/N(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus r}$ with $r \le \dim(F) - 1 = n - 1 - \kappa$. □

Lemma 2.12. *Suppose that $\kappa^{-1} := \kappa(X, -K_X) > 0$. Then $r(G) \le n-2$.*

Proof. Take $m >> 0$ so that the movable part $|S|$ of $|-mK_X|$ gives rise to a meromorphic map $\Psi : X \cdots\to Z$ with $\dim Z = \kappa^{-1}$. Now $h^*S \sim S$ for all $h \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$. Replacing X and Z by their G-equivariant blowups, we may assume that $|S|$ is base point free so that Ψ is holomorphic (but associated with a linear system bigger than $|-mK_X|$), Z is smooth, and G descends to $G|Z \le \mathrm{Aut}(Z)$. If $\dim Z < \dim X$, then $r(G) \le n-2$ by Lemma 2.10.

Consider the case $\dim Z = \dim X$. Then S is nef and big, whose class in $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is preserved by G, so the argument of Lieberman [12, Proposition 2.2] implies that $(*)$: $|G : G \cap \mathrm{Aut}_0(X)| < \infty$; see [20, Lemma 2.23] and also [13, Lemma A.5]. Since every element of $\mathrm{Aut}_0(X)$ acts trivially on $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$, it is of null entropy and so does every element of G. Thus $r(G) = 0$. This proves Lemma 2.12. □

Lemma 2.13. *Suppose that the irregularity $q(X) > 0$. Then $r(G) \le n-2$, unless X is bimeromorphic to a complex torus.*

Proof. Let $\mathrm{alb}_X : X \to \mathrm{Alb}(X)$ be the albanese map with $q(X) = \dim \mathrm{Alb}(X)$. Let $Y := \mathrm{alb}_X(X)$ be the image. If $\dim Y < n$, then $r(G) \le n-2$ by Lemma 2.10.

Suppose that $\dim Y = n$. Then alb_X is generically finite onto its image and hence $\kappa(X) \ge \kappa(Y) \ge 0$, since Y is a subvariety of a complex torus (cf. [16, Lemma 10.1]). By Lemma 2.11, we may assume that $\kappa(X) \le 0$. Thus $\kappa(X) = 0$. By [8, Theorem 24], $\mathrm{alb}_X : X \to \mathrm{Alb}(X)$ is then surjective and bimeromorphic. This proves Lemma 2.13. □

Lemma 2.14. *Suppose that H is a positive-dimensional connected and closed subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}_0(X)$ so that H is normalized by G, i.e., $G \le N_{\mathrm{Aut}_0(X)}(H)$. Then $r(G) \le n-2$, unless some H-orbit is dense open in X.*

Proof. By [5, Lemma 4.2 (3), Theorem 4.1, Theorem 5.5], there is a quotient map $\pi : X \cdots\to Y = X/H$ which is G-equivariant (so that H acts on Y trivially); replacing X, Y by G-equivariant blowups as in [5, (2.0)], we may assume that π is holomorphic and both X and Y are Kähler G-manifolds, because Y is in Fujiki's class $\mathcal{C}$ and hence bimeromorphic to a compact Kähler manifold (cf. Varouchas [17]). Now just apply Lemma 2.10. □

Lemma 2.15. *Suppose that X is a uniruled projective manifold. Then $r(G) \le n-2$, unless X is rationally connected.*

Proof. Applying the argument in [13, Lemma 5.2] and taking equivariant resolutions, we may assume that the rationally connected fibration $X \to Y$ is holomorphic with Y smooth (and non-uniruled) so that G descends to $G|Y \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}(Y)$. If $\dim Y > 0$, then $r(G) \le n-2$ by Lemma 2.10. If $\dim Y = 0$, then X is rationally connected. □

Lemma 2.16. *If the algebraic dimension $a(X) \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, then $r(G) \le n-2$.*

Proof. Let $X \cdots\to Y$ be an algebraic reduction with $\dim Y = a(X)$ and connected fibres (cf. [16, Prop. 3.4]). Let Y' be the main compact irreducible subvariety in the Douady space D_X of X parametrizing the general fibres of $X \cdots\to Y$, and $X' \to Y'$ the restriction of the universal family $Z \to D_X$. As pointed out by Fujiki, G acts biregularly on X' and Y' so that the holomorphic map $X' \to Y'$ is G-equivariant and bimeromorphic to $X \cdots\to Y$. Now just apply Lemma 2.10 to the G-equivariant resolutions of X' and Y'. □

We now complete the proof of Theorem 1.2. By Lemmas 2.11, 2.12, 2.13 and 2.16, we may assume that $q(X) = 0$, $\kappa(X) = -\infty$, $\kappa^{-1}(X) \le 0$ and $a(X) \in \{0, n\}$. Now $q(X) = 0$ implies that $\mathrm{Aut}_0(X)$ is a linear algebraic group; see [5, Corollary 5.8, Theorem 5.5].

Suppose that $H \le \mathrm{Aut}_0(X)$ is connected and closed and has a dense open orbit in X. Then X is almost homogeneous, Moishezon (and hence projective, X being Kähler) and unirational, because H is a rational variety by a result of Chevalley. X is birational to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times Z$ with Z projecitve, by a result of H. Matsumura; see [5, Proposition 5.10 and its Remark]. The unirationality of X implies that of Z; and a unirational surface is rational.

If H is further commutative, then X is rational because: the stabilizer H_x of a general point of $x \in X$ is normal in H and hence $H_x = H_y$ for all general $y \in X$ (by the density of the orbit Hx in X), so H_x is trivial and H dominates X birationally.

Now Theorem 1.2 follows from Lemma 2.15, the argument above and the application of Lemma 2.14 to characteristic subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}_0(X)$, especially to $\mathrm{Aut}_0(X)$ and to the last term (an abelian group) of the derived series of $R(\mathrm{Aut}_0(X))$ (the radical).

Question 2.17 below has been affirmatively answered in [3, pp. 323-325] for abelian G and without the condition $\mathrm{Aut}_0(X) = (1)$ (but this condition is needed for non-abelian G as seen in [3, Example 4.5]), a short proof of which follows immediately from Lemma 2.14 and Lieberman [12, Proposition 2.2] just as in $(*)$ of Lemma 2.12.

Question 2.17. *In Theorem 1.2, when the rank* $r = n - 1$ (*maximal*) *and* $\mathrm{Aut}_0(X) = (1)$, *can one say that* $N(G)$ *is a finite group?*

Question 2.18. *Can one find a rationally connected variety* (*or Calabi Yau manifold*) X *and a group* $G \le \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ *such that the rank in Theorem 1.2 satisfies* $r = \dim X - 1$*?*

References

[1] G. Birkhoff, Linear transformations with invariant cones, Amer. Math. Month. **74** (1967) 274–276.

[2] T. -C. Dinh, Suites d'applications méromorphes multivaluées et courants laminaires, J. Geom. Anal. **15** (2005) 207–227.

[3] T.-C. Dinh and N. Sibony, Groupes commutatifs d'automorphismes d'une variété kählerienne compacte, Duke Math. J. **123** (2004) 311–328.

[4] T.-C. Dinh and N. Sibony, Green currents for holomorphic automorphisms of compact Kähler manifolds, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (2005) 291–312.

[5] A. Fujiki, On automorphism groups of compact Kähler manifolds, Invent. Math. **44** (1978) 225–258.

[6] S. Friedland, Entropy of polynomial and rational maps, Ann. of Math. **133** (1991) 359–368.

[7] M. Gromov, On the entropy of holomorphic maps, Enseign. Math. **49** (2003) 217–235.

[8] Y. Kawamata, Characterization of abelian varieties, Compos. Math. **43** (1981) 253–276.

[9] J. Keum, K. Oguiso and D. -Q. Zhang, Conjecture of Tits type for complex varieties and theorem of Lie-Kolchin type for a cone, Math. Res. Lett. to appear, also: arXiv:math/**0703103**.

[10] J. H. Kim, Solvable automorphism groups of a compact Kaehler manifold, arXiv:**0712.0438v6**.

[11] J. Kollár and S. Mori, Birational geometry of algebraic varieties, Cambridge Tracts in Math. **134**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998.

[12] D. I. Lieberman, Compactness of the Chow scheme: applications to automorphisms and deformations of Kähler manifolds, *Fonctions de plusieurs variables complexes, III* (*Sém. François Norguet, 1975–1977*), pp. 140–186, Lecture Notes in Math., **670**, Springer, 1978.

[13] N. Nakayama and D. -Q. Zhang, Building blocks of étale endomorphisms of complex projective manifolds, RIMS preprint **1577**, Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto Univ. 2007.

[14] K. Oguiso, Tits alternative in hypekähler manifolds, Math. Res. Lett. **13** (2006) 307–316.

[15] J. Tits, Free subgroups in linear groups, J. Algebra **20** (1972) 250–270.

[16] K. Ueno, *Classification theory of algebraic varieties and compact complex spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **439**, Springer, 1975.

[17] J. Varouchas, Stabilité de la classe des variétés kähleriennes pour les certains morphisms propres, Invent. Math. **77** (1984) 117–128.

[18] Y. Yomdin, Volume growth and entropy, Israel J. Math. **57** (1987) 285–300.

[19] D. -Q. Zhang, Automorphism groups and aniti-pluricnonical curves, Math. Res. Lett. **15** (2008) 163–183.

[20] D. -Q. Zhang, Dynamics of automorphisms on projective complex manifolds, J. Differential Geom. to appear, also: arXiv:**0810.4675**.

[21] D. -Q. Zhang, Dynamics of automorphisms of compact complex manifolds, *Proceedings of The Fourth International Congress of Chinese Mathematicians (ICCM2007)*, Vol. **II**, pp. 678 - 689, also: arXiv:**0801.0843**.

Department of Mathematics
National University of Singapore, 2 Science Drive 2, Singapore 117543
E-mail address: `matzdq@nus.edu.sg`